

Attorney Docket No.: 42.P9790
Application No.: 09/513,660
Page 5

REMARKS

Claims 35-43 remain pending. Claims 1-34 have been canceled.

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 33 and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶2; rejected claims 1-12, 14-22, 24-27, 33, and 34 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Farris et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,306); rejected claims 13 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farris et al. in view of Ma et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,795,867); and stated that claims 28-32 are allowed.

The cancellation of claims 1-34 obviates the rejections thereof.

Applicants respectfully note the following with regard to new claims 35-43 and the previously applied references, Farris et al. and Ma et al. No reasonable combination of these reference teaches or suggests all elements in the newly presented claims.

Claims 35-37 require an apparatus including, *inter alia*, "a controller to generate graphical display information and events based on the digital signals received over the interface." There is no teaching or suggestion in Farris et al., for example, that server PC 71 or any of its components (e.g., CPU 73) generates "graphical display information and events based on the digital signals received" as required by new claims 35-37. Nor does Ma et al. teach or suggest such graphical display information with regard to its gatekeepers. Hence, new claims 35-37 are patentable over any combination of Farris et al. and Ma et al.

Claims 38-40 require a method including, *inter alia*, "generating graphical display information based on the received digital data; and transmitting the graphical display information over an asynchronous Internet protocol (IP) link." There is no teaching or suggestion in Farris et al., for example, that server PC 71 or any of its components (e.g., CPU 73) generates "graphical display information based on the received digital data" or transmits such as required by new claims 38-40. Nor does Ma et al. teach or suggest such graphical display information with regard to its gatekeepers. Hence, new claims 38-40 are patentable over any combination of Farris et al. and Ma et al.

Claims 41-43 require a medium including instructions to, *inter alia*, "cause a display device to display a digital telephone including the light events and display updates." There is no

Attorney Docket No.: 42.P9790
Application No.: 09/513,660
Page 6


teaching or suggestion in Farris et al., for example, that any of client PCs 61 "display[s] a digital telephone including the light events and display updates" as required by new claims 41-43. Nor does Ma et al. teach or suggest such display of a digital telephone with regard to its gatekeepers. Hence, new claims 41-43 are patentable over any combination of Farris et al. and Ma et al.

Reconsideration and allowance of newly pending claims 35-43 are respectfully requested.

In the event that any outstanding matters remain in this application, Applicants request that the Examiner contact Alan Pedersen-Giles at the number below to discuss such matters.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-0221 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,


Dated: September 19, 2005
Alan Pedersen-Giles
Registration No. 39,996

c/o Intel Americas
LF3
4030 Lafayette Center Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151
(703) 633-1061